

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 20 26)

Department Name:	Iowa Communications Network (ICN)	Date:	5/13/2026	Total Rule Count:	0
IAC #:	751	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	Chapters 13 and 15	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	8D
Contact Name:	Lori Larsen	Email:	Lori.larsen@icn.state.ia.us	Phone:	515-725-4713

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

The intended benefit of this joint rulemaking action is to completely rescind Chapter 13 (Site Charges and Other Fees) and Chapter 15 (Advisory Councils, Committees and Groups) to eliminate language that is obsolete and no longer reflects current Agency operations.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. The qualitative impact is positive; the rulemaking simplifies the regulatory environment by removing obsolete language. Rescinding these chapters eliminates outdated fee structures and defunct advisory council guidelines that are no longer necessary to maintain a well-run state communications network.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

There is no direct cost associated with the proposed rulemaking.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

There are no costs to the State or the agency associated with this rulemaking.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes. This rulemaking ensures the Agency removes obsolete language and has no costs associated with it.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? YES NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

The agency seeks to implement these rules in a minimally intrusive and minimally prescriptive manner while still fulfilling the responsibilities of maintaining a well-run state communications network. No other methods were considered as the proposed rulemaking is necessary to comply with Executive Order 10's mandate to streamline and modernize existing rules.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

Yes. 751—Chapter 13 and 751—Chapter 15 (Entire legacy chapters). Both chapters consist entirely of obsolete language regarding legacy site charges and inactive advisory groups that no longer align with current operational practices.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

Rescind 751—Chapter 13 and 751—Chapter 15 in their entirety.

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve 751—Chapter 13.

ITEM 2. Rescind and reserve 751—Chapter 15.

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	7
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	859
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	16

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

Yes. We recommend a comprehensive update to Iowa Code Chapter 8D to modernize the statute, streamline legacy code, and align the agency's authorizing framework with current technology and state government structures.